# Reward interacts with modality shift to reduce cross-modal conflict

**Guanlan Kang** 

Center for Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Beijing, China School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences, Beijing, China

 $\searrow$ 

Lihui Wang

Center for Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Beijing, China School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences, Beijing, China



Center for Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Beijing, China School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences, Beijing, China Beijing Key Laboratory of Behavior and Mental Health, Beijing, China Key Laboratory of Machine Perception (Ministry of Education),

Beijing, China PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research,

ern Institute for Brain Research,
Peking University, Beijing, China

# Xiaolin Zhou

Previous studies have shown that reward can enhance cognitive control and reduce conflict in visual processing. Here we investigate (a) whether and how reward influences cross-modal conflict control and (b) how the shift of attention across modalities modulates the effect of reward on cross-modal conflict control. In four experiments, a cue indicating the reward availability of a given trial (reward vs. no reward) was presented prior to a target. The target was either a visual or an auditory letter, which was accompanied by a distracting letter from the other modality. The identity of the distracting letter was either the same as or different from the identity of the target letter (congruent vs. incongruent). When the cue modality was constant (Experiment 1) or changed across different experimental blocks (Experiment 3), the interference effect (i.e., the response time difference between incongruent and congruent trials) was smaller following a reward cue than a noreward cue, suggesting that reward can reduce crossmodal conflict. In contrast, when the cue modality was changed trial-by-trial in an unpredictable way (Experiments 2 and 4), reward reduced cross-modal conflict only when the cue and the target were from different modalities and had a long stimulus onset asynchrony (SOA) between them but not when they shared the same modality or had a short SOA between

them. These results suggest that reward can facilitate cross-modal conflict resolution, and this effect may critically depend on both the preparatory state between the cue and the target and timing to initiate cognitive control.

## Introduction

a . C a a a b a . T a a a a a-& Ca , 2006). F b a а ab. O a . Е b a a a., a a a a , 2015; Pa & B a aa&P a, 2011;

Citation: Kang, G., Wang, L., & Zhou, X. (2017). Reward interacts with modality shift to reduce cross-modal conflict. J = aV = 1.7(1):19, 1-14, doi:10.1167/17.1.19.

doi: 10.1167/17.1.19

Received August 16, 2016; published January 12, 2017



, Pa , Wa , & Wa , Y , & Z , 2013). F a , Pa a a a P a (2011) a a b a a a a a b . T a a a a a ("XXXXX"), ("HOUSE"), ("BUILDING") ( . ., a ). A a a a a a a a aaa a a [RT ] RT a ) a a a a a a . T b a a a a a b , a ( . ., a ), a a (MPFC), a a Ca , & C , 2001; Pa a , R , H , , Za a a, & R , F , 2015; R , U , C , & N , 2004). T a MPFC b a a a a a a b a a . Ba , a a a a a a a - a (Pa aa& L , & W , 2014; V a ., 2015).

R a b a a a a a (Pa aa&P a, 2011; S a., 2015; a B a., 2014; V & Aa,2010). I a a - a . T a a a a a a a a a b (C & Z , 2013; D , A - , Pa , R b , & W , 2013; E , a , & Va -I a , 1998; W a , Wa , & , 2004, 2009). W a a a a a a a a a a a a a a . T a a a a

aa a baa . T a b (C a a., 2009). Pa a & Z , 2013; W a ( . ., a a ) a ( . ., a a , ). W a a a a b a a a a a a . A a a a a aa a , . I a a b a a a a a a a a . W b a a a a a a a ab.Ta a a a a a a, a a a.I a a ab a b a b a a a (T a , B , Ga a , & U à, 2002; T a , Ga a , B a , & U à, 2004; Wa , Y , & C , 2012). F a , T a a . (2002) (S1, S2) , a a a a (S1, S2)a a , a S1 S2 a a a S2. A b a a a - a a a a (Ma , Aa , & C , 2014) a . A ab a aa (Ta 2002) a . I , a a a a a, a . T a a a b a a b a a a a .T a a a a a a a ab (.., ab a ), a a

(B a., 2009; Ma a., 2014). a a b a a b SOA b a .
E 1 a a ba ,
a a b ( a a o a a a b ), a a a a a a a a a a 2, a a . 1 E 2, a
a a a-b - a ba , . .,
b a a a a
a ( a ) a a a
( - a ) a a a
a ( a / ) a
a ( /a ). E 3 a
a a a
a a a a a a ab . E 4
a a a E 2 b
a SOA: (310 350 ) . (910 950 )
a a SOA (1050 1450 )
E 2.

# **Experiment 1**


E 1 a a a . T a -

#### Method

#### **Participants**

#### Apparatus and materials

#### Design and procedure



T a  $) \times 2$  ( a a ) × 2 ( a ) - a a a T 48 a a a . T 384 b. a . T a a a . T a ba a a a ( a a

Figure 1. Trial structure i(bothcue0(37.2(tar261(ca56.)-334(sesormed)(wasd)(.3(and261(Tft(and)-1.07(used))135.1(re $1^{\circ}$ 22TD2007wate)-329(targbur b a a a

. F a a a ( . ., &) a a ( . ., a a a a ), a (..,#)a a a ). F a b a ( . ., a a a b a a a a ab a a ba a a a ab a a . A a a 600 1000 , a 450 . Pa a a a (A . O), . T b b a a b ba a a a . T a a a a 600 1000 .

a , a a a a a a a a a a 24 24 a . T a a a a a a a a ) a a a a - a ba ( b ) a . Pa b a a b.F a a a a a a RT a , a a a a a a a ba RTa Pa a a a ab a a b a . F a a , a (b a RT) a ba a a a a a RT. F , a a ba a F ba b a a a b a a

b. . A a , b a ba a (20 a) a a

#### Data analysis

RTI a a a a a a RT a a a a a b b a. a a a . I a a a a a a a a

#### Results

ANOVA RT (F 2) a a a a , (1, 18) = 6.32, = 0.022,  $\eta^2 = 0.26$ , a , (1, 18) = 52.35, < 0.001,  $\eta^2 = 0.74$ , RT a (402 . 423 ). M , a b a a a a a a a , (1, 18) = 5.80, = 0.027,  $\eta^2 = 0.24$ , a a a b a a a , (1, 18) = 19.58, < 0.001,  $\eta^2 = 0.52$ . T - a a (410 . 390 ). H , a a a a = 0.14. T a b = 2.83, = 0.110,  $\eta^2$ a a , (1, 18) = 7.21, = 0.015,  $\eta^2 = 0.29$ . A W a a

( . ., RT ) a a - a RTa (14 .26 ), (18) = 2.67, = 0.015. Fa , a a a a a a a , (18) =a a 2.17, = 0.043,(18) =0.93, = 0.363.F a a , a a a a , (1, 18) = 15.90, = 0.001,  $\eta^2 = 0.47$ , a a a a a a , (1, 18) = 17.75, = 0.001,  $\eta^2 = 0.50$ . Pa a a a a a - a a (407 . 441 ) a a a a (413 . 435 ). T a - b a a a , (1, 18) = 8.51, = 0.009,  $\eta^2 = 0.32$ . A a a , a a a (.., RT RT a a a a (25 .43 ), (18) = 2.93, =0.009. A  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  ANOVA a (1.18) = 16.11a a (1, 18) = 16.11, = $0.001, \eta^2 = 0.47,$ 

#### Discussion

a a

I E 1, a a a a a b a a a (K, D -, & E , 2009; Pa a a & P a, 2011, 2014; W & Ka , 2014). M , a a b & B a , 2015; Pa a a & P a, 2011; P a, 2009) a ab.

a (4.7% . 2.2%).

# **Experiment 2**

I E 2, a a a a a a a a

a b a a a a




Figure 3. Experiment 2. Top: Mean RTs with standard errors as a function of the experimental condition. Bottom: the interference effects with standard errors as a function of the experimental condition.

0.35, a (4.6% . 2.4%). N a a

## **Discussion**

I E RT 2, b a a a a a (a ). T a a /a RT(T a a., 2002; T a., 2004), a a a a a a a a a a a . I a a a . T a a a a a a a a a a a., 2014). A (Ma b b a a a a a a a a a a a

b b a a a a b a a a a a (Ma a., 2014; P a, 2009). T a a a a a a (a ) a  $\mathbf{a}$ a a ab a a b . I ab a a a a a a b a a a b a a a , a a  $\mathbf{a}$ a a T b a

# **Experiment 3**

T E 3 a a a a a  $\mathbf{a}$ a a  $ab_{\cdot}$  . Ta a a a a a a




Figure 4. Experiment 3. Left: Mean RTs with standard errors as a function of the experimental condition. Right: the interference effects with standard errors as a function of the experimental condition.

a - a b

#### Method

#### **Participants**

#### **Apparatus and materials**

Taaaaaaaaaaa

#### Design and procedure

#### Results

## **Discussion**

Ι Е a ab E 3 a b b a . A a RT. T a b a a -2 ( Ε T a a., 2002; a

a a b . Pa a 32 a a , a a ba .

# **Experiment 4**

## Method

## **Participants**

T a a a ( a , 18~26 a ) a E 4. A a a a a -- a , -a a , a -a . T a a

## Apparatus and materials

Taaaaaa aa

## Design and procedure

## Results

O a a a a a a a RT a a RT a a




Figure 5. Experiment 4. Top: Mean RTs with standard errors as a function of the experimental condition. Bottom: the interference effects with standard errors as a function of the experimental condition.

# **Discussion**

# **General discussion**

Ι (a) a (b) a a a a . W a a a a a a a (E a a 1 a 3). H a a a a a b a a

a . S a , b b a
a
a a a b a aa, a 3). I a (E a a , a a b b a a, a a - a a a F a -(E -2 a 4). "; B & R b , 2003). A a (" a a a a , b a a (N ba & B a , 2015). A b a a (N ba a a & B a , 2015; C & B a , 2016; Ka , Z , & W 2015; Pa a 2011; Pa a 2009; W , 2015; Pa a a & P a, 2011; P a, 2009; S a., 2015; W & Ka , 2014). E a , a a a a a , a . Ta a a a a a a a a a , a a a a a a a a a EEG, (E a., 2015). B a a b a a a ab a a E ab a a a b a a a-. S a a a a

a a a . W a a b a a a a , . ., b b a a a b -3), a a a a a a a.I a a a a a b a a 2 a 4), a a a (E ab a a a a.T a a a ab a., 2009; Ma a (B a., 2014). N a , Ma a. (2014), a a a a a a F, a b a a , a , a Ma a a a a a a b a a-b - a a b . S , Ma a a a a a a a . a a ab b a . I a , a a a T a a (B & S , 1989), a a b a a a a (.., a a b a a a a a a a a a . I a a a (E 1 a 3 ), a a a a a a' a . H ab a a a a 2 a (E ), a . T , a a a a b a a a . F a a a a a

a a - a . I , a ba a a , Pa aaa P a (2011) a a a a . I a a a. (2015) a a a (S a a b a., 2015). I a a b a a b b a a b . a a a / a a a Α a a a b a a ab a . S a, a a a ab ( . ., SOA b a a a a ) a a **SOA** a a a b b , C . I Ba (2016)a a b a a a a a . I 1, a a a a . I 2, a a a a , , a a ( . ., a ) a a a a a . R a a , b a a 1. T a a a a a a , a a Ι a a ab a a b a a a Α b a RT a a (E 2 a 4). T a a a a a b a, a

a a (Pa , E , E a, A Sa M , 2008; T a a ., 2002). S a a b b W a a . (2004), RTa a a a a a a . N , a a b b a a a a a a a a a a b a a a T , b a a a a , b a a a a a . W a a b  $\mathbf{a}$ a a a . H a a a a a a a a . T a a a a a a a

# **Acknowledgments**

T a R a P a T b Na a Ba a (973 P a :  $\mathbf{C}$ 2015CB856400). W a M.P R. B a a a a a a a a C a a  $\mathbf{C}$ a 

# References

B , K. C., & R b , T. E. (2003). Pa a . (9), 507 513, :10.1016/S0166-2236(03)00233-9. B , E., C , R., & Aa , H. (2009). T

- B , M. M., & B a , T. (2015). M a a : F b a a (1), 83 113, :10.1146/a -010814-015044.

- H , G., Ma a , S., & Y , N. (2013). EEG

  a a a a a 
  ab a a a a .

  (1), 590 600, :10.1016/. a .2012.09.

  003.
- Ka , G., Z , X., & W , P. (2015). I a a a a a a aa a a a a-(9), 2571 2580.

- Pa a a, S., & P a, L. (2011). R a
  b a a a a a
  b a a a
  (11), 3419 3432, :10.1162/
  \_a\_00011.

- P a, L. (2009). H a a a
  (4), 160 166, :10.1016/. .2009.01.
- P a, L. (2015). M a a (1 2), 272 290, :10.1080/13506285.2014.974729.
- S , A., S , C., Pa , L., Wa , H., & S b , T. (2015). D ab a- a .: A MRI ... (2), 409 423, :10.1162/ \_a\_00712.
- T a , M., B , F., Ga a , G., & U à, C. (2002). N a a a a a b a a ... (3), 628 639, :10.1037/0096-1523.28.3.628.

a B, B., K, b, R. M., L, M. M., & W , M. G. (2014). U a a - a - a -(2), 561 577, :10. 3758/ 13415-014-0281- . a V , V., & Ca , C. S. (2006). C a b a . (5), 237 240 (5), 237 240. , H., & Aa , H. (2010). C a a a

a : R a a a S a a S (2), 184 190. a

, L., W b a , D., & S , D. (2015). ERP a a a-a . a a , 126 133, :10.1016/. a.2015.02.025.

Wa , L., Y , H., & Z , X. (2013). I a b a a a a a -

a aa. Wa , L., Y , Z., & C , Q. (2012). C - a a a b . (5), 867 878, :10.3758/ 13414-012-0289-9.

W , P., & Ka , G. (2014). Ta a a a b a a

1783 1791, :10.1007/ 00221-014-3870-8.

W a , D. H., Wa , L. M., & W , M. G. (2004). T a a a - a (48), 10941 10949, :10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.3669-04.2004.

W a, D. H., Wa, L. M., & W, M. G. (2009). M a a a 2009.06.081.